

EXHIBIT 3

In the Matter Of:

Henry vs


Brown


ERIC FURDA

November 16, 2023



83





1 jury is listening by then, I guess it
2 would be a real class, includes students
3 at Penn who were Pell Grant eligible and
4 otherwise socioeconomically disadvantaged
5 students. Are there members of that
6 class who benefited in the admissions
7 process at Penn from that status?

8 MR. NORMAND: Objection to
9 form.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 BY MR. GRINGER:

12 Q. About how many applications
13 did you personally review during your
14 time at Penn?

15 A. All 17 years, or are we
16 still --

17 Q. Well, let's -- let's do all
18 17 years here.

19 A. 15 to 20,000.

20 Q. And in the 15 to 20,000
21 applications that you personally reviewed
22 during your 17 years at Penn, how many
23 times were you influenced in your review
24 by the fact that an applicant's family

348

1 had made a large donation to Penn?

2 MR. NORMAND: Objection to
3 form.

4 THE WITNESS: I didn't.

5 Never.

6 MR. GRINGER: Thank you,
7 Mr. Furda.

8 - - -

9 EXAMINATION

10 - - -

11 BY MR. NORMAND:

12 Q. Just a few questions,
13 Mr. Furda. Thanks for your patience.

14 A. Certainly.

15 Q. Long day.

16 Did you know, during your
17 tenure as dean of admissions at Penn,
18 that the 568 Group was named after
19 Section 568 of a piece of federal
20 legislation?

21 A. I did have that sense. Yes.

22 Q. Did you have any
23 understanding, during your tenure, as to
24 whether that legislation defined the word